



# POPULATION DISTRIBUTION OF CAPRA FALCONERI (MARKHOR) IN JUTIAL VALLEY OF DISTRICT GILGIT, GLIGIT-BALTISTAN

## Muhammad Akbar<sup>1&2</sup>, Mayoor Khan<sup>1</sup>, Faisal Hussain<sup>3</sup>, Syed Naeem Abbas<sup>4</sup>, Ghulam Raza<sup>5</sup> and Muhammad Ali<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wildlife Conservation Society, Gilgit-Balitsitan, Pakistan
<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany University of Baltistan Skardu, Gilgit-Balitsitan, Pakistan
<sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, Ghazi University Dera Gahzi Khan
<sup>4</sup>Forest, Parks, Wildlife and Environment Department Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan
<sup>5</sup>Department of Natural Resource Management, Gilgit-Balitsitan, Pakistan
<sup>6</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Baltistan, Pakistan

#### Abstract

This study was carried out to investigate population, distribution and conservation status of Capra falconeri (Markhor), in the Jutial valley of District Gilgit, Gilgit-Baltistan. Despite scarcity of natural vegetation and extreme climate, estimated population and distribution of Markhor was not different from this valley. Illegal hunting and poaching, removals of natural vegetation for fodder, firewood and over grazing of pastures by livestock are major reducing factors of Markhor in this valley. Several surveys using direct and indirect counting methods were held in 65 potential habitats during December, 2015 and questionnaire based interviews were held with local hunters and herders. Results revealed that a total of 65 Markhors were observed with the composition of yearling, females and males. The study also showed that population of trophy animals size were identified in the potential areas of Jutial valley has increased considerably whereas that of non-trophy animals have fallen down to the verge of local extinction. The increases are attributed to overwhelming success of community base conservation program, initiated by WWF, WCS and GB Forest & Wildlife department. Efforts to maintain balance between conservation needs of the wild resource and development needs of the dependent communities is required for sustainable management.

#### Introduction

Gilgit-Balitsitan (GB) lying at the confluence of world's three larger mountain ranges *viz.*, Hindu Kush, Karakoram, and Himalayas (HKH), is endowed with a variety of species, habitats and ecosystems (ICIMOD, 2010; Khan, 2011; Shaheen & Shinwari, 2012). The region has significant populations of several globally important wildlife species including 54 mammal, 230 bird, 23 reptile, 20 cold-water fish and 6 amphibian species (GoP/IUCN, 2002). Huge mountains with snow covered peaks, ravines, valleys and streams with dry alpine scrub vegetation represent a predominantly cold arid and montane climate. It is one of the most important hubs of biological diversity in Pakistan with some of the species being endemic, endangered and globally significant. Jutial valley is situated at upper bank of Gilgit at distance of 2 km from the Gilgit town having an area 40 sq km. The valley is also enriched with the wildlife resources some of the rare wildlife species are found in this valley in ample quantity such as Ibex (*Capra ibex*).





*sibirica*), Snow Leopard (*Uncia uncia*), Markhor (*Capra falconari falconari*), Foxes (*Vulpus vulpus*), Wolf (*Canus lupus*), Musk deer (*Moschus moschiferous*), Chukor (*Alectoris chukar*), Ram Chukor (*Tetra gallus Himalayanses*) and Vultures (*Coragyps atratus*). This valley is bestowed by vegetation with a variety of natural flora which includes the pine forest, medicinal Plants, herbs, shrubs and aromatic plants which are the great source of food and shelter for the Wildlife and also used by the human being for domestic purpose. The medicinal plants found in the valley are widely used in the homeopathic medicines (Rasheed, 2007; Schaller, 2007; Khan *et al.*, 2014a, b).

C. falconeri belongs to the Carpinae group of the Bovidae family (Schaller, 1977; Roberts, 1977). Five sub-species are reported from Pakistan, and almost all are categorized as "Endangered" (IUCN, 2008). Markhor, recognized as the Flare Horned Markhor, is confined to upper catchments of Indus River and its tributaries in Gilgit-Baltistan (Hess et al., 1997). Like other subspecies of *Caprinae*. Markhor is still threatened for its genetic isolation, specialized habitat requirements, low reproductivity, habitat fragmentation, food competition and excessive hunting (Schakleton, 1997). Markhors are generally found in steppic mountain conditions and where rainfall is low and erratic, and are found between 600-3,600 meter altitudinal ranges (Roberts, 1977). Large mammals, wild and domestic herbivores have been coexisting in Asia's high mountains since long, which has been destabilized by the ever increasing ecological imbalances between the mountain vegetation and dependent herbivores. Excessive removal of natural vegetation for grazing and domestic energy by ever increasing numbers of human and animal heads have led to widespread degradation of fragile alpine and subalpine pastures, which consequently has threatened the survival of the region's most threatened wildlife species, their habitats and the mountain ecosystem (Fox et al., 1994 & Jackson et al., 1996). The main objective of study were to; a) assess the population of Markhor in Jutial, b) assess the population trend, age sex, trophy size animals, c) conduct wildlife and habitat assessment surveys, d) assess the record keeping, data collection, handling of field equipments for the study of Markhor, e) collect the socio-economic data for revision of the conservation plan.

#### **Materials & Methods**

#### **Site Description**

General surveillance was carried out with the help of local peoples/hunters in potential habitats of the Markhor in Jutial valley. During the survey, all visible surrounding areas were scanned carefully for direct observations of the animals, as well their signs of presence *i.e.*, fecal pellets and hair. Based on general surveillance findings, the vantage points were marked for further detail study (Table 1).



Voyage Journal of Scientific Research and Exploration (VJSRE) <u>ISSN (Online):</u> 3007-3898, ISSN (Print): 3007-388X, March 2024 Vol. 1 Issue 1



### **Population Estimation**

For estimating population, the vantage point method was applied proposed by Shackleton, (2001), the most appropriate method to count wild ungulates in the rugged mountainous habitats. Vantage points (n=5) were selected at such places from where a clear and unobstructed view of the maximum area could be made without detection by the animals. The best time for observation of Markhor is usually 7 to 9 a.m. and 3 to 5 p.m. (Ayaz *et al.*, 2012).

### Age/Sex distribution and Identification

All the animals in different herds, observed during scanning, were counted with the help of binoculars (Nikon BM20682, 8-24x25) and spotting scope (Nikon, 20x60). Efforts were made to classify the each observed animal as female, young, yearling and male. Males were further classified by size, using horn length as an indicator of age, as class I (1-3 years old), class II (3-4 years old), class III (5-6 years old) and class IV (>6 years old) as proposed and defined by Schaller and Mirza, (1974) and was also adopted by Awan, (1998). Photographs were taken by using digital camera (Nikkor 36X, 12.1 megapixels). Visual scan based counting of individuals was considered as the exact minimum population of the Markhor at different localities in the area. Besides, direct sighting of animals, information on population and conservation status of Markhor was also collected from local hunters, shepherds and other knowledgeable peoples, using semi structured questionnaires. During present survey, selected vantage point method to assess the population of target species was determined.

| Vantage Point    | Area absorved  | Direction of        | Estimated ariel | Equipment used |  |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
|                  | Area observed  | Slop/Aspect         | Distance        | during survey  |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Chan Char        | Rakhoni Tik    | 60-80% North        | 2km             | Binocular      |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Shakoor Ali Camp | Chan Chan Daar | 60-80% East         | 1.2 Km          | Sporting scope |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Wali Khoni Dar   | Daari          | 60-80% South 2.5 Km |                 | Digital camera |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Shokoor Ali Camp | Chan Chan Khor | 60-80% North        | 2 Km            | Sporting scope |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Plantation Area  | Shaye Daar     | 60-80% East         | 2 Km            | Sporting scope |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Helipad area     | Bhgno Kho      |                     |                 | Binocular      |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Shakoor Ali Camp | Choki Daar     | 60-80% East         |                 | Binocular      |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Shakoor Ali Camp | Narkhor Daar   | 60-80% East         |                 | Digital camera |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Toch Harayie     | Chan Chan Tok  |                     |                 | Digital camera |  |
|                  |                |                     |                 |                |  |
| Majeed Dokori    | Chani Khor     |                     |                 | Binocular      |  |

Table 1. Description of vantage point of Jutial wildlife potential sites.





## Results

## **Status of Markhor**

Jutial valley is a rugged mountainous area provides suitable climatic conditions and habitat especially for Flare-horned Markhor. The results of the survey clearly showed that climatic factor affect the distribution of Markhor, cloudy weather reduced their visibility. During winter a total of 65 Markhors were observed including 19 yearling, 33 females and 13 males including 3 trophy size animals were identified in the potential areas of Jutial valley (Table 2).

| Places           | Kids | Yearlings | Female | Male Class-I | Male Class-II | Male Class-III | Mean±SE   |
|------------------|------|-----------|--------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
|                  |      |           |        |              |               |                |           |
| Shasher          | 0    | 1         | 1      | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0.33±0.21 |
| Shakoor Ali Camp | 0    | 2         | 2      | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0.67±0.42 |
| Aowali Khoni     | 0    | 2         | 2      | 2            | 1             | 0              | 1.17±0.40 |
| Shan Khor        | 0    | 2         | 3      | 2            | 1             | 0              | 1.33±0.49 |
| Shai Daray       | 0    | 2         | 2      | 0            | 1             | 0              | 0.83±0.40 |
| Helipad area     | 0    | 2         | 8      | 0            | 0             | 1              | 1.83±1.28 |
| Choki Dar        | 0    | 2         | 4      | 0            | 1             | 1              | 1.33±0.61 |
| Naro Khur Ddar   | 0    | 4         | 5      | 0            | 0             | 0              | 1.50±0.96 |
| Tochi Harai      | 0    | 2         | 4      | 0            | 1             | 1              | 1.60±0.68 |
| Majeed Dokori    | 0    | 0         | 2      | 1            | 0             | 0              | 0.50±0.34 |

Table 2. Distribution of Markhor according to age/sex in Jutial valley with Mean±SE.

## Population size and trend of Markhor

A total 65 animals were sighted in the entire survey; all of them could be classified as Kids (n=0, 0%), Yearling (n=19, 29%), females (n=33, 50%), males class-I (n=5, 8%), class-II (n=5, 8%), class-III (n=3, 5%), out of total population recorded during the survey (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Showing the number & percentages of Markhor in Jutial Valley. (Axis X:1= Kids, 2= Yearling, 3=Female, 4= Male Class-I, 5= Male Class-II and 6= Male Class-III)& Axis Y: Population size )





Besides field survey, it is also observed from the potential informants *i.e.* hunters, shepherds, and wood cutters/collectors about various aspects of the population of the target species. The community perception about Markhor population trend over 20 years a gradual increase (more than 50%) with the passage of time (Fig. 2). The community considered that population has increased as a result of ban on illegal hunting by the relevant authority and regular monitoring by Wildlife in collaboration with several conservation agencies.



**Figure 2. Population trend of Markhor in Jutial valley.** (Axis X: 1=Current population, 2= 5 years ago, 3= 10 years ago and 4= 20 years ago; Axis 2: population %)

#### Discussion

The wildlife in the region of Gilgit-Baltistan (GB) especially in Jutial valley of mountains above forest zone is surprisingly rich and diverse, with some being endangered and endemic to the region. The study revealed that population and distribution of Markhor varies from place to place, ecological behavior, habitat conditions, food availability, prevailing pressures and conservation measures. The estimated mature individuals of markhor at global level is < 2,500 and the population trend of markhor is "Decreasing" (Valdez, 2008). Stevens *et al.* (2011) recorded the mean group size of markhor in the range of  $1.5 \pm$  SD 0.71 in eastern forests of Afghanistan.

Hess *et al.*, (1997), Schakleton (1997), Khan (2011) and Khan *et al.*, (2014) reported that populations Markhor outside the protected areas are still negatively influenced by illegal hunting, habitat degradation, slow reproductively and genetic isolation. But an apparent increase in number of trophy size animals might be due to high economic value of the species for local communities even if excessive exploitation is the original cause of the specie's decline (Lindsey *et al.*, 2007 & Roberts, 1988). However lower female to kid ratio is probably due to higher





mortality and predation on younger crop (Haller, 1992) and may also be due to low reproduction and over harvest of productive males for trophies inside communities management and conservation area (Loveridge, 2006; Khan, 2011 & Khan *et al.*, 2014). IUCN, (2000) reported that habitat loss leads to the extinction of Markhor. Similarly, Shackleton, (2001) stated that the wild lands are shrinking rapidly due to increase in population and their subsequent increased demand for firewood and timber. Ali, (2008) reported that unsustainable use of natural resources by the people lining in and around those areas due to limited livelihood opportunities have led to the depletion of habitat of wildlife and resultantly markhor population is declining in many areas. Habitat loss (Schackleton, 2001; IUCN, 2000 & Arshad *et al.*, 2012) due to anthropogenic pressure owing to the limited livelihood options (Ali, 2008), poaching (Woodford *et al.*, 2004 & Bhatnagar *et al.*, 2009), uncontrolled hunting (Johnson, 1998 & Arshad *et al.*, 2012) and livestock competition for forage (GoNWFP and IUCN, 2004; Woodford, *et al.*, 2004 & Arshad *et al.*, 2012) are the major causes of depletion of this precious resource.

This paper enlightens the importance of suitability of habitat and the disturbance of Markhor population due to human interference however; more comprehensive research study is required to assess the Markhor population status, distribution and other ecological parameters to establish a proper management system.

### Conclusions

From the study it might be concluded that the young ones are more affected than the adults in markhor population structure however it varies non-significantly from place to place. The study also demonstrated that the number of non-trophy animals has decreased to the brink of local extinction, the population of trophy animals, which were identified in the prospective areas of Jutial Valley, has expanded significantly.

## Reference

Ali, S. (2008). Conservation and Status of Markhor (Capra falconeri) in the Northern Parts of North West Frontier Province, Pakistan. MS Thesis, University of Montana Missoula, 127.

Arshad, M., Qamer, F.M., Saleem, R & Malik, R.N. (2012). Prediction of Kashmir Markhor habitat suitability in Chitral Gol National Park, Pakistan. *Biodiversity*, 13(2): 78-87.

Awan, G.A. (1998). Ecology of the Punjab urial (*Ovis vignei punjabiensis*) in the Salt Range, Punjab. M. Phil dissertation, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad. (Un pub). 78pp.





Ayaz, S., Muhibullah, A.A., Anjum, M., Jamil, M.A., Khan, M.F & Qamar, M.F. (2012). Behaviour and Biology of *Ovis orientalis* (Urial) In Kotal Wildlife Park and Borraka Wildlife Sanctuary in Kohat. *J. Animal & Pl. Sci.*, 22:29-31.

Bhatnagar, Y.V., Ahmad, R., Kyarong, S.S., Ranjitsinh, M.K., Seth, C.M., Lone, I.A., Easa, P.S., Kaul, R & Raghunath, R. (2009). Endangered markhor *Capra falconeri* in India: through war and insurgency. *Fauna and Flora Int., Oryx*, 43 (3): 407-411.

Fox, J.L., Nurbu, C., Bhatt, S & Chandola, A. (1994). Wildlife conservation and land-use changes in the Trans-Himalayan region of Ladakh, India. *Mountain Res. Dev.*, 14: 39–60

GoNWFP & IUCN Pakistan. (2004). Chitral – An Integrated Development Vision (Chitral Conservation Strategy). IUCN-Pakistan and Government of NWFP, Karachi, Pakistan, 14-103.

GoP/IUCN, (2002). *State of Environment and Development in Northern Areas*. IUCN Pakistan, Karachi, pp 68–77.

Haller, H (1992). *Zur O* kologie des Luchses Lynx lynx in Verlauf seiner Wiederansiedlung in den Walliser Alpen. Mammalia depicta, Z. Saugetierkd (Suppl.). Paul Parey, Hamburg, Berlin, Germany.

Hess, R., Bollmann, K., Rasool, G., Chaudhry, A.A., Virk, A.T & Ahmad, A. (1997). Pakistan. In: D.M. Shackleton (ed. & compiler) & IUCN Caprinae Specialist group, Wild Sheep and Goats, and their Relatives: Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan for Caprinae. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland & Cambridge, UK. Pp. 239–260.

ICIMOD, (2010). Open Access to, and publication of, Mountain Biodiversity Data of the Hindu Kush-Himalayan region: a regional workshop/training by ICIMOD, Global Biodiversity Information Facility and Global Mountain Biodiversity Assessment. In (ed.) Sunita Chuadhry, Bandana Shakya and Nakul Chettri. ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, p. 22.

IUCN, (2000). Biodiversity Action Plan. http://www.http//www.iucn.pk [accessed on 06 December, 2012].

IUCN, (2008). *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. [http://www.iucnredlist.org, Updated January 17, 2010].

Jackson, R., Ahlborn, A., Gurung, G. & Ale, S. (1996). Reducing livestock depredation losses in the Nepalese Himalaya. *Proc. Vert. Pest Conf.*, 17: 241–247.





Johnson, K.A. (1998). Status of Suleiman markhor and Afghan urial populations in the Torghar Hills, Balochistan Province, Pakistan. In: S.A. MUFTI, C.A. WOODS and S.A. HASAN, eds. Pakistan. Museum of Natural History and Florida Museum of Natural History, Islamabad, 12 p.

Khan, A.A. (2011). Significance, history and future of Sino-Pa collaboration for the socioecological development of Karakoram Pamir landscape with focus on adjoining protected areas-Towards Developing the Karakoram Pamir Landscape. *Process Document for Discussion*. ICIMOD, Nepal, pp: 60.

Khan, A.A. (2011). Towards Developing the Karakoram Pamir landscape: significance, history and future of Sino-Pak collaboration for the socio-ecological development of Karakoram Pamir landscape with focus on adjoining protected areas. *Process Document for Discussion*. International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD), Kathmandu, Nepal. pp: 59.

Khan, B., Ablimit, A., Nawaz, M.A., Ali, R., Khan, M.Z., Din, J. & Karim, R. (2014a). Pastoralist experience and tolerance of snow leopard, wolf and lynx predation in Karakoram Pamir Mountains. *J. Bio. Environ. Sci.*, 5 (4): 214–229.

Khan, M.Z., Khan, B., Ahmed, E., Khan, M.Z., Khan, G., Ajmal, A., Ali, R., Abbas, S. & Ali. M. (2014b). Abundance, distribution and conservation of key ungulate species in Hindu Kush, Karakoram and Western Himalayan (HKH) mountain ranges of Pakistan. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 16: 1050–1058.

Lindsey, P.A., Roulet, P.A. & Romanach, S.S. (2007). Economic and conservation significance of the trophy hunting industry in sub-Saharan Africa. *Biol. Conserv.*, 134: 455-469.

Loveridge, A.J., Reynolds, J.C. & Gulland, E.J.M. (2006). Does sport hunting benefit conservation? *Conserv. Biol.*, 15: 224–240.

Rasheed, T. (2007). Values of wildlife with special reference to Northern Mountain (Unpublished). pp. 12.

Roberts, L. (1988). Hard choices ahead on biodiversity. Science, 241: 1759–1761.

Roberts, T.J. (1977). *The mammals of Pakistan*. Ernest Benn, Ltd., London, England, pp: 195-199.

Schakleton, D.M. (2001). A review of the community-based trophy hunting programs in Pakistan. Prepared for MACP in collaboration with IUCN-Pakistan, NCCW, MoELGRD, pp. 59.





Schaller, G.B. & Mirza, Z.B. (1974). On the behavior of Punjab urial (*Ovis orientalis punjabiensis*). The behavior of ungulates and its relation to Management vol. (1) IUCN, Morges, Switzerland. 306 -312.

Schaller, G.B. (1977). Mountain monarchs-wild sheep and goats of Himalayas. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, USA, pp: 425.

Schaller, G.B. (2007). A proposal for a Pamir international peace park. USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P-49.

Shackleton, D.M. (1997). *Wild Sheep and Goats and their relatives: status survey and conservation action plan for Caprinae*. Shackleton, D.M. (ed.) and the IUCN/SSC Caprinae Specialist Group. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK, p. 390.

Shackleton, D.M. (2001). A review of the community-based trophy hunting programs in Pakistan. Prepared for the Mountain Area Conservancy Project with the collaboration of IUCN-Pakistan, NCCW, MoELGRD. 59 pp.

Shaheen, H. & Z.K. Shinwari. (2012). Phytodiversity and endemic richness of Qurumber Lake vegetation from Hindu Kush-Himalayas. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44: 15-20.

Stevens, K., Dehgan, A., Karlstetter, M., Rawan, F., Tawhid, M.I., Ostrowski, S., Ali, J.M.& Ali, R. (2011). Large mammals surviving conflict in the eastern forests of Afghanistan. *Fauna & Flora Int., Oryx*, 45: 265-271.

Valdez, R. (2008). *Capra falconeri*. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. http:// www.iucnredlist.org. [Accessed on 17 January 2013].

Woodford, M.H., Frisina, M.R. & Awan, G.A. (2004). The Torghar Conservation Project: Management of the Livestock, Suleiman Markhor (*Capra falconeri*) and Afghan Urial (*Ovis Orientalis*) in the Torghar Hill, Pakistan. *Game and Wildlife Sci.*, 21 (3): 177-187.